

## Strategic Rail Freight Infrastructure – Community Liaison Group

Wed 25.01.17, North West Leicestershire District Council Offices, Coalville

### Present

Cllr Shaun Ambrose Jones, Castle Donington PC  
Fiona Palmer, Castle Donington PC  
Peter Goddard, Goddard & Sons (chair)  
Cllr David Hignett, Kegworth Parish Council  
Vicky Roe, Kegworth Parish Council  
Cllr Trevor Pendleton, Leicestershire County Council  
Lynne Stinson, Leicestershire County Council  
Mike Thomas, Lockington cum Hemington PC  
Gill Simpkins, Lockington cum Hemington PC  
Samantha Lockwood, Long Whatton & Diseworth PC  
Lisa Guest, Nottingham City Council  
David Pick, Nottinghamshire County Council  
Cllr Alison Smith, North West Leicestershire District Council  
Christine Fisher, North West Leicestershire District Council  
Chris Brown, North West Leicestershire District Council  
Adam Mellor, North West Leicestershire District Council  
Gillian Squires, North West Leicestershire District Council  
John Holmes, Oxalis Planning

### Apologies

Chris Hills, Castle Donington PC  
Paul Chamberlain, Derbyshire County Council  
Kevin Barton, Derbyshire County Council  
Jeremy Murfitt, Donington Park  
Jon Bottomley, East Midlands Airport  
Ian Vears, Leicestershire County Council  
Toni Harrington, Lockington cum Hemington PC  
Andrew Cawdell, Long Whatton & Diseworth PC  
Caroline Nash, Nottingham City Council  
Geoff George, Nottingham City Council  
Jan Witco, Nottinghamshire County Council  
Jim Newton, North West Leicestershire DC  
Ian Nelson, North West Leicestershire DC  
Ben Holmes, Oxalis Planning  
Steve Harley, Oxalis Planning  
Kate Bedson, Roxhill Developments

<b>1.</b>	<b>MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING</b> The minutes of the meeting on 26 October 2016 were agreed.  Matters arising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Traffic modelling data for Kegworth provided as requested.</li><li>• Community Fund first trigger point has passed and monies to be passed to parish councils by start of next week.</li><li>• Highways England consulted about improving village signage and notes that 'in hand' ((currently HGVs being misdirected by sat navs).</li><li>• PG distributed scheme drawings to all.</li></ul>	
-----------	---	--

	<p><b>Actions carried forward:</b> None</p>	
2.	<p><b>PROGRAMME UPDATE</b></p> <p>Construction work started 9.01.17. A453 speed limit set at 50mph and will remain for 10 months.</p> <p>Two night closures will be in place by the end of March 2017 to construct crossovers before contraflow installed, due April 2017. Two weeks notice must be given to Highways England but Roxhill will try to give the maximum notice possible, aiming for 4-5 weeks notice of works.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PG to notify Liaison group as soon as Highways England notification comes through.</li> <li>• All parishes to check Roxhill website regularly and link information to own websites to promote potential traffic disruptions to residents.</li> </ul>	<p><b>PG</b> <b>Parishes</b></p>
3.	<p><b>HIGHWAY SIGNAGE</b></p> <p><b>a. LCC signage and enforcement plans</b></p> <p>Signage strategy distributed to all. LS outlined signage strategy associated with two phases:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. strategic ground freight/construction period</li> <li>2. after development in place</li> </ol> <p>During construction routes are approved in planning so can be enforced accordingly. Site access will be from the strategic network. There was discussion on the issue of site construction vehicles entering villages. If this occurs, residents should take vehicle details, including registration plates, along with day/time spotted and report this to Roxhill. LS explained that the contractors have 'tool box' talks every morning so LCC can feed in any issues via this communication route.</p> <p>PG added that there is a contract stipulation that suppliers will use particular routes and must not deviate from them. If evidence is provided that certain suppliers are breaking restrictions, then penalties may be imposed. PG is keen for communities to provide any evidence, preferably with photos, to help enforce this.</p> <p>Roxhill has sent out a newsletter to 4,500 properties affected by the development. The newsletter has also been placed on Roxhill's website. PG highlighted two useful emails on the newsletter for Roxhill and for Winvic enquiries/issues. Residents are asked to send evidence of any issues to both email addresses.</p> <p>Newsletters will be produced as and when key events are happening. Most information will be communicated via Roxhill's website.</p>	

	<p>There was discussion on contraflows on the A453. It is not possible to close the public highway but signage can be used to show where it is not suitable for HGVs. LCC can impose restrictions fairly quickly but there must be a legitimate reason to do so.</p> <p>There was discussion on the need for enforcement of lorries travelling on weight restricted roads and how Leicestershire Police might be able to help with this enforcement.</p> <p>CDPC is exploring the possibility of running its own Lorry Watch, gathering evidence of photos, registration plates, noting times, etc. There was discussion on the potential for using time lapse cameras; LG noted that the housing unit can be quite large and to be aware of this before signing up to the idea.</p> <p>LS noted that speed watch type advisory letters could be sent to companies where lorries were entering villages. PG noted that this would only be useful for Roxhill site construction traffic but would not pick up other lorries just passing through.</p> <p>LG suggested routing agreements and wheel washes, which ensures that no deleterious material or mud is moved onto the road.</p> <p>Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) monies paid to LCC queried with regard to supporting HGV control.</p> <p>SL queried if alternative routes will affect Long Whatton and Diseworth. There was discussion on proposed signage and how this could affect villages, also the issue of motorists leaving the A42 to access the Services and then struggling to join the A42 again.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All parishes to encourage residents to gather evidence if suppliers are entering villages erroneously.</li> <li>• CB to contact Leicestershire Police to discuss if beat officers can run enforcement on set days/times.</li> <li>• LG to contact colleagues at NCC to identify if any useful communication initiatives can be shared.</li> <li>• PG to check CIL agreement with Kate Bedson, Roxhill, regarding HGV control.</li> <li>• PG to take comments on signage and Services back to Highways England to review again.</li> </ul> <p><b>b. LCC's reassurance that considered co-ordination of ALL the different projects which may/will overlap with Roxhill's development</b></p> <p>It was noted that there have been regular meetings over the past two years regarding the SRFI, Smart Motorways and LCC works. LCC has also spoken to High Speed 2 regarding their future plans.</p>	<p><b>Parishes</b></p> <p><b>CB</b></p> <p><b>LG</b></p> <p><b>PG</b></p> <p><b>PG</b></p>
--	---	--

	<p>SAJ highlighted the Park Lane development and the need for the associated Western Perimeter Relief Road to be built out quickly to help alleviate traffic pressure in Castle Donington. It was noted that LCC is very aware of how housing development could be impacted by the Roxhill development. NWLDC is still pursuing funding to have the relief road built out in one phase.</p> <p>LS outlined how 'clash management' is employed to ensure that diverted traffic does not hit further roadworks. All road schemes must be booked in with LCC Networks Control, including roads an organisation is working on as well as roads that they are diverting traffic onto. Clash management ensures that no other work can come on stream without consulting fully with the scheme already booked in. Highways England operates the same system. LS noted that LCC and Highways England work very closely together on this.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LS and PG to meet again for further catch up.</li> </ul> <p><b>c. LCC's understanding, appreciation and planning of the displacement effect of this development</b></p> <p>There was discussion on the potential displacement of non development control through villages, creating 'rat runs' as people attempt to avoid congestion. LS noted that there is potential for signage to be used, but this would require evidence of HGVs flouting restrictions. Where evidence was available, the normal process is to send an advisory letter prior to issuing fines. PG noted that if there is a lot of legal traffic displaced and causing problems, an emergency zone could be put in place with a temporary traffic order.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All parishes to raise any particular issues that arise with Roxhill and LCC.</li> </ul>	<p><b>LS/PG</b></p> <p><b>Parishes</b></p>
<p><b>4.</b></p>	<p><b>Q &amp; A</b></p> <p>Q. Kegworth Parish Council (KPC) is setting up working groups to look at redeveloping Market Place and roads through the village. Could KPC tap into Oxalis/Roxhill expertise through some consultancy hours?</p> <p>A. JH was very positive about this suggestion, noting that they would be happy to bring their landscape architect along to meet KPC to discuss further.</p> <p>Q. SL queried if newsletters will reach Long Whatton and Diseworth residents, feels these villages have been missed out rather.</p> <p>A. PG to check coverage plan for newsletters and feed back to SL.</p> <p>Q. SL raised further concerns about specific rat running routes through villages and asked how best to counteract this issue.</p> <p>A. JH suggested a watching brief needs to be taken and traffic flows to</p>	

	<p>be monitored as required.</p> <p>Q. FP suggested that Roxhill takes out an advert in the Rural Trader as an additional promotional route. A. FP to send details through to PG.</p> <p>Q. MT asked if additional text could be added to Roxhill drawings on website. A. PG explained that drawings cannot be altered but would review adding additional text.</p> <p><b>Action:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• VR to contact JH to set up meeting regarding possible consultancy hours input for Kegworth redevelopment project.</li> <li>• PG to check coverage plan for newsletters and feed back to SL.</li> <li>• All parishes to feed back on any rat running issues to Roxhill/LCC to determine if traffic flow monitoring needs to be undertaken.</li> <li>• FP to send Rural Trader details to PG.</li> <li>• PG to review adding additional detail to Roxhill drawings on website.</li> </ul>	<p><b>VR</b></p> <p><b>PG</b></p> <p><b>Parishes</b></p> <p><b>FP</b></p> <p><b>PG</b></p>
<p><b>6.</b></p>	<p><b>NEXT MEETING DATE</b></p> <p>Wed 26<sup>th</sup> April, 5pm. Roxhill site offices.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> PG to send specific directions.</p>	<p><b>PG</b></p>